

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re application of: Bruzzese et al.

Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P145/P-267 DIV CIP

Application No.: 10/817,156

Examiner: Adetokunbo O. Torimiro

Filed: April 2, 2004

Group: 3714

Title: GAMING MACHINE  
COMMUNICATING SYSTEM

Confirmation No.: 1189

---

CERTIFICATE OF EFS-WEB TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically through EFS-WEB to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 4, 2007.

Signed: /swx/

Susan W. Xu

**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tentative Participants:

1) **R. Mahboubian**  
3)

2)  
4)

Proposed Date of Interview: **April 18, 2007**

Proposed Time: **2:00 PM** (Eastern Time)

Type of Interview Requested:

☒ Telephone      ☐ Personal      ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes      ☒ No

If yes, provide brief description:

**ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) 103	Claim 1	<i>Wells et al.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) 103	Claim 5	<i>Itkis</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) 103	Claim 20		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ARGUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:**

As a representative claim, claim one (1), among other things, recites that "each of said gaming machines includes a communication module connected to a master gaming controller of each said gaming machine whereby identification and control signals for a specific one or more of said plurality of gaming machines can be input to, and sent from, said transponder to the master gaming controller of the selected gaming machines and in reply thereto, status data of said selected gaming machines can be sent to, or overwritten by, said transponder."

In the Office Action, the Examiner has asserted that U.S. Patent No. 6,448,585 (*Wells et al.*) teaches these features (Office Action, page 6, citing Col. 4, lines 24-36 of *Wells et al.*). For the Examiner's convenience, the pertinent section of *Wells et al.* which has been relied on by the Examiner to support the Examiner's rejection of claim one (1) is reproduced.

"Although it is possible to combine numerous functions onto a given board, typically numerous boards will be provided in a gaming terminal for forming a plurality of functions. In the depicted embodiment, the game controller board communicates with a communications board 124 which provides information to and, receives information from a local controller 114a and/or central computer 116, for purposes such as monitoring use and performance, assuring compliance, performing accounting and similar functions, and facilitating implementation of progressive or other multi-terminal based games or prizes. In one embodiment, the communications board 124 includes one or more ports by which a laptop 128 or other computer may be coupled to the gaming terminal 112a for, among other purposes, downloading as described more fully below" (*Wells et al.*, Col. 4, lines 24-36).

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejection of claim 1 is improper and should be withdrawn. Other independent claims recite similar features as claim 1. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 1-26 is improper and should be withdrawn.

Moreover, the dependent claims recite features that render them patentable for additional reasons. For example, claim 5 recites that the control signal determines which game is able to be played. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, it is respectfully submitted that *Itkis* does not teach this feature (see page 11 of the Office Action).

Instead, *Itkis* teaches that “[t] the slave game device receives commands and random data, such as bingo patterns and called bingo and keno numbers, from the master game device and sends the local game status and accounting information to the master game device” (*Itkis*, Abstract). According, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner’s rejection of claim 5 is improper for this additional reason.

Furthermore, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner’s rejection of claim 20 is improper for an additional reason. Claim 20, among other thing, recites: transmitting identification and control signals from said transponder to said selected gaming machine(s) to both select game and enable the master gaming controller thereof.” It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner’s rejection of claim 20 does neither address the feature of selecting a game, nor the feature of enabling the master gaming controller.

Still further, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness because the Examiner has failed to provide a motivation or suggestion for combining the references. Clearly, the mere assertion that the references can be combined or the claimed invention is within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill in the art is not sufficient to establish a prima facie case of obviousness (MPEP 2143.01). The prior art must suggest the desirability of the claimed invention (MPEP 2143.01). In this case, clearly, the mere assertion that *Baldwin* can be combined with *Wells et al.* “in order to reduce the effort and time required in manipulation of gaming software and gaming maintenance” does not establish a prima case of obviousness (see page 6 of the Office Action). Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner’s rejection of claims 1-4, 7-13, 15 and 17-26 is improper for an additional reason.

In addition, it is respectfully submitted that the mere assertion that *Wells*, *Baldwin* and *Itkis* can be combined in order to “increase the attractiveness of the gaming machine by offering multiple games so that it will interest more players to play the gaming machine” does not establish a prima facie case of obviousness (see page 11 of the Office Action). Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 5 and 6 is improper and should be withdrawn for this addition reason. Similarly, the rejection of claims 14 and 16 is improper because the mere assertion that *Wells*, *Baldwin* and *Jorasch et al.* can be combined in order “to attract players that only use machines that allow player tracking capabilities and therefore increase the time the

machine is used and earning profit for the casino” does not establish a prima facie case of obviousness (see page 12 of Office Action). Therefore, the Examiner’s rejection of claims 14 and 16 is improper for this additional reason.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

\*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant’s failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant’s Representative)  
Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

1. (Currently Amended) A communications and data transfer system for gaming establishments having a plurality of gaming machines arranged in a configuration ~~proximity to each other~~, said system comprising a hand held portable transponder adapted to transmit and receive modulated electromagnetic radiation over a limited range which is about ~~approximates to only~~ the linear distance occupied by said gaming machines, said transponder further comprising a display device and an input mechanism, and

wherein each of said gaming machines includes a communication module connected to a master gaming controller of each said gaming machine whereby identification and control signals for ~~a specific one or ones~~ one or more selected gaming machines of said plurality of ~~adjacent~~ gaming machines can be input to, and sent from, said transponder to the master gaming controller of the one or more selected gaming machines and in reply thereto, status data of said one or more selected gaming machines can be sent to, or overwritten by, said transponder and wherein the master gaming controller controls the games played on said gaming machine.